

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Arbitration Case No. 60 of 2006
Date of Decision: 15.12.2006**

**Punjab State Industrial Development
Corporation Limited.**

**.....Petitioner through
Mr. V.M Gupta,
Advocate**

versus

Shri Satya Pal Goyal

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJENDER JAIN,
CHIEF JUSTICE**

Vijender Jain, Chief Justice (Oral)

Inspite of service, no body has put appearance on behalf of the respondent. The respondent has been served through publication pursuant to the order passed by this Court.

There is an Arbitration Clause for settlement of disputes between the parties. Clause 32 of the agreement contains the Arbitration Clause which reads as under:-

*All differences and dispute between the
parties hereto on any clause or matter herein contained
or their respective rights, claims or liabilities hereunder
or otherwise, whatsoever, in relation to or arising out of
this agreement shall be referred to arbitration by two*

Arbitrators (one to be appointed by each party) who shall, before proceeding with the reference, appoint an Umpire and such arbitration shall be governed by the Indian Arbitration Act, 1940 or any modification or re-enactment thereof for the time being in force”.

As no body is present on behalf of the respondent, I appoint Mr. Justice G.C.Garg, a retired Judge of this Court, as sole Arbitrator to adjudicate upon the dispute between the parties. The Arbitrator shall fix his own fee.

The petition stands disposed of.

Parties are directed to appear before the Arbitrator on 20.01.2007.

(VIJENDER JAIN)
CHIEF JUSTICE

15.12.2006

'ravinder'